DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services 7500 Security Boulevard, Mail Stop S2-12-25 Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850



Center for Medicaid and State Operations/Survey and Certification Group

Ref: S&C-05-13

DATE: December 16, 2004

TO: State Survey Agency Directors

FROM: Director

Survey and Certification Group

SUBJECT: Improving Enforcement via the Special Focus Facility Program for Nursing

Homes

Memorandum Summary

We are strengthening enforcement of remedial action in cases of nursing homes that exhibit a persistent pattern of substandard care. Improvements to CMS' "Special Focus Facility" (SFF) Program include:

- *More Nursing Homes:* Increasing the total number of facilities by about 30%, with larger states doing more than smaller states (instead of 2 nursing homes in every state).
- **Better Selection**: Improving the data and methods by which substandard nursing homes are identified. Facilitating the ability of states to move on to other nursing homes on the candidate list if the original facilities show significant improvement.
- *Stronger Enforcement*: Implementing more robust enforcement for nursing homes that fail to make progress.
- *Reduced reporting burden*: Removing the monthly reporting requirement for states.

Current requirements for surveying each SFF twice a year remain unchanged.

Background

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) created the SFF program in 1998 as one of the initiatives of the Nursing Home Oversight and Improvement Program. The SFF program sought to decrease the number of persistently poorly performing nursing homes by focusing more attention on nursing homes with a record of poor survey performance. In January 1999, CMS directed state survey agencies (SAs) to conduct two standard surveys per year for each SFF instead of the one required by law. CMS also requested that states submit a monthly status report listing any surveys, revisits, or complaint investigations of SFF they had conducted in that month.

In this memorandum we convey revised methods that improve the selection of nursing homes for the SFF Program. We also strengthen enforcement of remedial action for those nursing homes that exhibit a persistent pattern of substandard care. The revisions will allow states to monitor facilities in need of more attention, impose sanctions on SFFs that fail to meet certain survey standards, and remove the monthly reporting requirement.

I very much appreciate the work of the state representatives who provided critiques of the current SFF program and specific ideas for improvement. Criticisms, and the corresponding CMS actions, are outlined below:

Criticism **Improvement** 1. **Limited number of facilities:** There have The number of SFFs selected in each state been too few nursing homes selected in the will now vary somewhat with the total large states (2), and too many in very small number of nursing homes in the state. The states (2 out of 14 in one state). Hence, one national total number of facilities will small state ends up picking 14% of the increase by about 30%. state's nursing homes while another state picks 0.5%). 2. **Selection Criteria:** One year's data on Three year's of data on each nursing home's nursing home performance has been used in performance will now be used. the past. States reported that the list of poorly performing nursing homes generated States will pick from an expanded list. from one's year's worth of data did not match well with their knowledge of which Facilities that significantly improve may be removed from the list so the state may move nursing homes had the worst performance. on to other facilities on the candidate list. 3. **Enforcement**: Many facilities have More robust enforcement will include: remained on the SFF list for some time (a) Required sanctions if significant progress does not occur; without improving. (b) 18 months & 3 surveys without significant improvement will precipitate a notice of termination from Medicare/Medicaid. Improvements to the ASPEN information 4. **Reporting**: It has been time-consuming for states to prepare the necessary reports for system will enable CMS to extract the necessary information. States will no longer transmittal to CMS. need to send the reports.

How the Special Focus Facility Program Will Be Changed

Number of Facilities: The attachment to this memo identifies the number of SFFs that must be included in the program. The specified number of facilities will be selected by the state from the larger candidate list provided by CMS. We encourage states to select a larger number when possible. In the past, the minimum number of facilities was "2," regardless of the total number of facilities in the state.

Selection & Ability to Focus on Additional Facilities: We will use three years of data to create the list of potential SFF in each state. States will be provided an expanded list of facilities from which to select. We are also revising the SFF requirements to allow states to remove names of nursing homes that have significantly improved survey results. This will free up resources for states to focus their efforts on nursing homes in need of closer monitoring. Nursing homes that are cited with deficiencies at a scope and severity no higher than "E" on two successive standard surveys without intervening complaint-related deficiencies of "F" or greater may be removed from the SFF program.

More Robust Enforcement for Lack of Significant Progress: Each enforcement authority, i.e., SA or regional office (RO), must impose an immediate sanction on a SFF that fails to achieve and maintain significant progress in correcting deficiencies on the first and each subsequent standard survey after a facility becomes a SFF. Each state must apply its appropriate discretion, in a manner consistent among all affected facilities, in determining significant progress. Decreases in the scope and severity of deficiencies or decreases in the number of deficiencies are both examples of such criteria. Complaint surveys may not be used to determine that a facility's performance has improved. However, the results of a complaint survey may be used as part of the enforcement process. This provision does not prevent the SA or RO from imposing an immediate sanction, even though substantial progress has occurred under this definition, if the sanction fits or is required under CMS policy.

Enforcement sanctions should be of increasing severity. They should include a Civil Money Penalty and/or a Denial of Payment for New Admissions. Each state or CMS should impose these sanctions with 15 days' notice. If, after 18 months and 3 surveys subsequent to being selected as a SFF, a nursing home fails to have made significant progress, a notice of termination from participation in Medicare and Medicaid will be issued. CMS will consider a facility's status and progress as a SFF in setting a reasonable assurance period before a home can reapply to participate in Medicare.

Reduced Reporting Requirements: SAs and ROs will no longer be required to submit a monthly status report on each SFF in their jurisdiction. CMS Central Office will monitor the program by evaluating data collected in ASPEN and submitted to the Central Office database. However, we ask that you still submit any changes to your list of SFFs that are selected from the candidate list that we supply.

Page 4 – State Survey Agency Directors

Effective Date: The information contained in this memorandum clarifies current policy and must be implemented no later than 60 days after issuance of this memorandum.

Training: This clarification should be shared with all survey and certification staff, surveyors, their managers, and the state/RO training coordinator.

/s/ Thomas E. Hamilton

cc: Survey and Certification Regional Office Management

Enclosure

Number of Special Focus Facilities - Varied by Number of Nursing Homes in the State

State	# Nursing Homes	# Special Focus Facilities
Guam	1	0
Virgin Islands	1	0
Puerto Rico	6	0
Alaska	14	0
4		
states/territories		
District Of	0.4	_
Columbia	21	1
Wyoming	39	1
Delaware	42	1
Vermont	42	1
Nevada	43	1
Hawaii	45	1
Idaho	80	1
New Hampshire	81	1
New Mexico	82	1
North Dakota	83	1
Utah	92	1
Rhode Island	95	1
12 states	12	2 facilities
Montana	101	2
South Dakota	113	2
Maine	118	2
Arizona	134	2
West Virginia	138	2
Oregon	139	2
South Carolina	178	2
Mississippi	209	2 2 2 2
Colorado	216	2
Alabama	229	2
Nebraska	235	2
Maryland	240	2
Arkansas	245	2
Connecticut	248	2
14 states		3 facilities
Washington	256	3
Virginia	287	3
Kentucky	296	3
Louisiana	318	3

State	# Nursing Homes	# Special Focus Facilities
Tennessee	341	3
New Jersey	360	3
Georgia	365	3
Kansas	377	3
Oklahoma	378	3
9 states	27 facilities	
Wisconsin	410	4
Minnesota	421	4
North Carolina	422	4
Michigan	432	4
Massachusetts	481	4
Iowa	490	4
Indiana	526	4
Missouri	550	4
8 states	32 facilities	
New York	669	5
Florida	696	5
Pennsylvania	732	5
Illinois	834	5
Ohio	998	5
Texas	1,172	5
6 states	30 facilities	
California	1,321	6
	1,321	

56 states/territories Total 135 facilities

# of Nursing Homes	# of Special Focus Facilities
00-20	0
21-100	1
100-250	2
201-400	3
401-600	4
601-1200	5
1201+	6
Total	135